

Canadian public health officials have no record of SARS-COV-2 isolation/purification performed anywhere, ever

Posted by [peopleforjusticecanada](#) [January 5, 2021](#) [January 6, 2021](#) Posted in [Uncategorized](#)

By Christine Massey, M.Sc., exclusively for People For Justice Canada

Further down this page you will see a screenshot of a Freedom of Information (FOI) request that was submitted to the Public Health Agency of Canada and many other Canadian institutions requesting evidence that is absolutely essential (but not on its own sufficient) for establishing the existence of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" aka "SARS-COV-2".

The request is for records describing the isolation (aka purification) of the alleged "COVID-19" virus, from a patient sample that was not first adulterated with additional genetic material (typically monkey kidney cells and fetal bovine serum). The same request has been submitted to 16

Canadian institutions in total.

Without this isolation step having been performed (followed by controlled experiments and other necessary steps), there is no way to claim scientifically that the alleged “novel coronavirus” blamed for widespread death/disease/lockdown measures actually exists.

Without this step having been performed, and followed by the necessary controlled experiments, and independently replicated, all claims of this alleged virus are nothing but wild, unscientific, fraud-based speculation backed only by fraudulent science, fraudulent tests and fraudulent diagnoses.

Before we go further, it is important to note that these requests for records describing “SARS-COV-2” isolation were **not** limited to records of isolation performed by the respective institution, or limited to records authored by the respective institution, rather they were open to **any** records held by the institution (including scientific studies downloaded from the Internet) describing isolation of “SARS-COV-2” **by anyone, anywhere on the planet, ever.**

Institutions are not required to provide records that are publicly available, however citations were requested for any such records that are available to the public elsewhere.

As I write this on January 4, 2021, 14 Canadian institutions have provided their responses and 2 are long overdue. None have provided, or cited, any such records, from anywhere in the world, ever.

These 14 Canadian institutions are:

[Public Health Agency of Canada](#),
[Health Canada](#),
[National Research Council of Canada](#),
[Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization-
International Vaccine Centre \(VIDO-InterVac\)](#),
[Canadian Institutes of Health Research](#),
[Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of
Canada](#),
[Institut National de Sante Publique du Quebec](#),
[McGill University](#),
[City of Toronto](#),
[Region of Peel](#) (Ontario),
[University of Toronto](#),
[Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre](#),
[McMaster University](#) and
[Mount Sinai Hospital](#) (Toronto).

Each institution's response may be accessed by clicking on the hyperlink in the list.

Below is a screenshot of page 1 of Health Canada's

response.



Health Santé
Canada Canada

Access to Information and Privacy Division
7th Floor, Suite 700, Holland Cross - Tower B
1600 Scott Street, (Mail Stop: 3107A)
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K9

Our file: A-2020-000208 / BH

Christine Massey
221 - 93 George St. S
Brampton, Ontario
L6Y 1P4

Dear Christine Massey:

This is in response to your request made under the *Access to Information Act* (the Act) for the following information:

All records describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; liver cancer cells).

Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-COV-2" refers instead to:

- the culturing of something, or
- the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), or
- the sequencing of something.

To clarify, I am requesting all such records that are in the possession, custody or control of Health Canada (for example:downloaded to a computer, printed in hard copy, etc.).

Having completed a thorough search, we regret to inform you that we were unable to locate any records responsive to your request.

Should you have any questions or concerns about the processing of your request, please do not hesitate to contact Barbara Haase, the analyst responsible for this file, either by phone at 613-859-9073, by email at barbara.haase@canada.ca or by fax at 613-941-4541, with reference to our file number cited above.

The 2 Canadian institutions that are long-overdue in responding to the FOI request are:

Ontario Ministry of Health ([submitted May 14, 2020](#)),
Public Health Ontario ([submitted July 16, 2020](#)).

Fake "SARS-COV-2" isolates are being used in "vaccine" development

Note that a team of researchers from the last 4 institutions in the above list (University of Toronto, McMaster University, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre and Mount Sinai Hospital) publicly and fraudulently claimed to have jointly "isolated the virus" early last year. I wish I had a dollar for every time someone has sent me [one of the press releases](#) about this bogus "isolation" claim.

Research team has isolated the COVID-19 virus

March 12, 2020



Here are the names of the authors involved in this "isolation" claim.

1 **Isolation, sequence, infectivity and replication kinetics of SARS-CoV-2**

2 Arinjay Banerjee¹, Jalees A. Nasir¹, Patrick Budylowski², Lily Yip³, Patryk Aftanas³, Natasha
3 Christie², Ayoob Ghalami², Kaushal Baid¹, Amogelang R. Raphenya¹, Jeremy A. Hirota¹,
4 Matthew S. Miller¹, Allison J. McGeer^{2,4}, Mario Ostrowski², Robert A. Kozak^{2,3}, Andrew G.
5 McArthur¹, Karen Mossman^{1,*} and Samira Mubareka^{2,3}

6 **Affiliations:**

7 ¹McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

8 ²University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

9 ³Sunnybrook Research Institute, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

10 ⁴Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Below is what these researchers actually did and called “isolation”. It’s a typical example of what I call “fraudulent monkey business”. Note that Vero cells are kidney cells from an African green monkey; FBS = fetal bovine serum. Both are sources of genetic contamination.

Virus isolation and quantification

Vero E6 cells were seeded at a concentration of 3×10^5 cells/well in a 6-well plate. Next day, 200 μ l of mid-turbinate swabs, collected from two COVID-19 patients was mixed with 200 μ l of DMEM containing 16 μ g/ml TPCK-treated trypsin and cells were inoculated. After 1 hr, the inoculum was replaced with DMEM containing 2% FBS and 6 μ g/ml TPCK-treated trypsin. The cells were observed daily under a light microscope. Supernatant from the cells were used to determine virus titres (TCID₅₀/ml) using Spearman and Karber's method (6, 7) as outlined previously (8).

According to everyday English mixing a patient sample with animal cells is the opposite of isolating anything. And it

certainly isn't the meaning of "isolation" intended in Koch's postulates (more on Koch's postulates at the bottom of this page).

These Ontario researchers began using their so-called "virus isolate", and providing it to other researchers, for "COVID-19" experiments and development of "COVID-19" tests and therapeutics.

Note that the University of Toronto is a long-time "proud partner" with the vaccine manufacturing company Sanofi Pasteur. This was made very clear during [a webinar](#) entitled *"The Path to an Effective COVID-19 Vaccine"* (webinar password: 4e?x*95T) featuring Vivek Goel and hosted by the University on May 26, 2020.

The University of Toronto is still heavily involved in developing "COVID-19" tests and "vaccines", and endless "COVID-19" activity. See ***What's Next? COVID-19***

podcast: (Ep 33) Vivek Goel on vaccine delivery:

<https://www.utoronto.ca/news/what-s-next-covid-19-podcast-ep-33-vivek-goel-vaccine-delivery>

Vivek Goel is Special Advisor to the President and Provost at the University, a professor in the Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation at the Dalla Lana School of Public Health and [touted](#) by the University as a *"renowned public health expert"*. Can Professor Goel not tell the

difference between mixing things together and separating things apart?

Researchers at the [Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization-International Vaccine Centre](#) (VIDO-InterVac) at the University of Saskatchewan (the 4th institution on the above FOI response list) also claimed early in 2020 to have "isolated the virus" and started using their fake isolate in "vaccine" development.

Their alleged accomplishment was [publicized](#) by the Globe and Mail in March 2020. Below is a screenshot from the G & M's article.

On Friday, Paul Hodgson, associate director of business development at the Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization-International Vaccine Centre in Saskatoon, confirmed to The Globe and Mail that the joint federal-provincial facility had quietly reached the same milestone a few weeks earlier and is now using its version of the virus for a vaccine development effort.

Samples of the Saskatoon-derived version of the coronavirus are now available for approved research groups through the National Microbiological Laboratory in Winnipeg. The Ontario group also plans to generate its version for distribution.

Sounds impressive, right? According to VIDO-InterVac's [website](#), they have been "***Leading COVID-19 research as Canada's centre for pandemic research***".

For months, VIDO-InterVac has been engaged in "COVID-19" research, developing a "COVID-19" solution, and offering "Support services for external groups ***developing***

vaccines, antivirals, and therapeutics against COVID-19".

On December 10, 2020, Global News [reported](#) that "A day after the Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine was approved for use in Canada, ***VIDO-InterVac is hitting a milestone with their Saskatoon-made vaccine – filing an application to start human clinical trials.***"



Saskatoon-made COVID-19 vaccine applying to start clinical trials

A day after the Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine was approved for use in Canada, VIDO-InterVac is hitting a milestone with their Saskatoon-made vaccine – filing an application to start human clinical trials.

Hmm, well below is a screenshot from the 1st page of VIDO-InterVac's FOI response: "***...the records you wish to access do not exist....***"

VIDO-InterVac's entire letter can be seen here:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/VIDO-InterVac-2020.09.04-CCT-App.pdf>.

And so, on top of all the safety concerns re “COVID-19” “vaccines”, we have the fact that vaccination against a purely theoretical and imaginary virus isn’t even a little bit rational.

And thus the real purpose of the so-called “vaccines” cannot be accepted at face value.

Canadian researchers and public health officials are not alone

Right now you might be thinking *“oh well, this is strange, but surely ‘the virus’ does exist and proof is held by institutions all around the world!”* And this would be a reasonable thing to assume of “a virus” that allegedly turned our world upside-down in 2020.

Know this: Numerous people have submitted the same, and similar, record requests to public health and science organizations in numerous jurisdictions, including the U.S., New Zealand, Australia, the U.K., England, Scotland, Wales, Ireland, Denmark, Slovenia and the European Union, and made them publicly available.

To date, **dozens** of responses have been collected from outside Canada, and **none** of them have yielded **any** record of "SARS-COV-2" isolation, by anyone, anywhere on the planet, ever. They are all publicly available here:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/>

Yes, we know about the many, many published "SARS-COV-2 genomes" and "isolation" claims – they're bogus

We do realize that many researchers claim to have "isolated SARS-COV-2". I've written briefly about some of those papers in the past (i.e. [here](#) and [here](#) and [here](#)).

We've looked at all of the "SARS-COV-2 isolation" studies ever brought to our attention, and have yet to see one where they accomplished any such thing. Claiming to have done something and actually doing it are sometimes two different things, even in peer-reviewed science.

And yes we are aware that thousands upon thousands of so-called "SARS-COV-2 genomes" have been published. However these "genomes" were in fact manufactured, not discovered.

And yes we are aware that EM images have been published,

allegedly of "the virus", however a photo of something does not tell you what the thing is, where it came from or what it does.

One has to scrutinize the Methods used to "isolate the virus" / obtain said images / obtain the "genomes" / "confirm" the diagnoses / conclude that a terrifying "novel coronavirus" requires worldwide devastating lock-downs, and that is when absolutely **everything** falls apart with "COVID-19".

Regarding the "isolation" methods specifically: the problem with these claims is that they do the exact opposite of isolating anything, as shown in the examples above.

Instead, researchers take a "confirmed COVID-19" patient sample that was never shown to contain any "SARS-COV-2" but merely "confirmed" with meaningless, fraudulent PCR testing, and adulterate it with a cell line (usually Vero aka monkey kidney cells), fetal bovine serum and toxic drugs. Or they perform some slight variation on this exercise in illogic and insanity, for instance by using a different cell line.

Next, they irrationally blame "the virus" for whatever happens to the (typically monkey kidney) cell line, and pretend that their man-made concoction is "SARS-COV-2 isolate". This is **fraud** and it's unscientific.

Below is a screenshot from another such [study](#), this one describing the alleged *Isolation and rapid sharing of the 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS- CoV- 2) from the first patient diagnosed with COVID- 19 in Australia*.

This study was actually [cited by the Australian Department of Health](#) as a paper "*which led to the isolation of SARS-CoV-2 in culture*". Can you spot the oxymoron in that quote?

The authors describe their fraudulent and irrational approach to "isolation" and note in the same paragraph (found in their [Supplemental Methods](#)) that they shipped their fake "isolate" off both nationally and internationally.

2.1 Cell culture of SARS-CoV-2 and electron microscopy

Vero/hSLAM cells (African green monkey kidney cells transfected to express the human signaling lymphocytic activation molecule (SLAM; also known as CDw150)¹ were grown at 37°C, 5% CO₂ in maintenance media consisting of 10mL Earle's minimum essential medium (EMEM), 7% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Bovogen Biologicals, Keilor East, AUS) 2mM L-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1500mg/L sodium bicarbonate, 15 mM HEPES and 0.4mg/ml geneticin to 95% confluency in 25cm² flasks. Prior to use for isolation, maintenance media was removed from the flask and 500μL of respiratory swab inoculum was overlaid on the cell monolayer. The flask was returned to the 37°C incubator to allow the virus to adsorb for 1 hour before addition of 10 mL viral culture media (EMEM as above but FBS reduced to 2%). Flasks were monitored for viral cytopathic effect and 140μL aliquots of supernatant removed every 48 hours to assess virus burden by TaqMan real-time RT-PCR. First passage culture grown virus isolate was subsequently shipped nationally and internationally in packaging compliant with UN 2814 Category A shipping requirements using credentialed, specialised courier services under the appropriate Australian government export approvals processes and receiving country import permissions.

One of the places this fake isolate was shipped to was Australia's Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation(CSIRO), where researchers set about using it in "vaccine" development.

When researchers claim to have "sequenced SARS-COV-2" they in fact worked with a soup of genetic material from a patient sample or from a cell culture (not purified "SARS-COV-2" or purified anything) and fabricated the sequence

based on this soup and comparisons with other (also fabricated!) genomes.

Below is a screenshot showing a random [example](#) of the methods used to produce a fraudulent “SARS-COV-2 genome”, from a study someone recently tweeted to me. It’s absurd and unscientific, but typical: assembly, mapping, trimming, alignment... everything but discovery of something that actually exists.

of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China, where it was confirmed and sequenced.


The specimen tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 by real-time reverse transcriptase PCR (rRT-PCR) developed in the University of Hong Kong (5). Sequencing was done using the Illumina MiSeq system with the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner MEM algorithm (BWA-MEM) 0.7.5a-r405 assembly method. The full genome was amplified directly from the RNA extract from the original specimen using gene-specific primers for open reading frame 1b (ORF1b) and N (Table 1) to produce overlapping PCR products covering the full genome (5). The expected amplicon sizes of the ORF1b and N gene assays are 132 bp and 110 bp, respectively (5). The raw reads were first cleaned by trimming low-quality bases with Trimmomatic 0.36 (-phred33, LEADING:20, TRAILING:20, SLID-INGWINDOW:4:20, MINLEN:40). The new genome sequence was obtained by first mapping reads to a reference SARS-CoV-2 genome using BWA-MEM 0.7.5a-r405 with default parameters to generate the consensus sequence. In addition, the assembly produced by MEGAHIT 1.2.9 (de novo assembly), using default parameters, was used to cross-validate with the reference-based method as an internal control. The two results were consistent, and our final sequence is based on the reference-based method. The reference sequence we used was from the Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Database (GISAID; strain identifier EPI_ISL_405839). The reads mapped to the reference sequence were then curated in a pileup alignment file to obtain the consensus sequence (minimum coverage threshold, 10). FastQC 0.11.8 was used to assess the sequence quality before trimming and after alignment to prevent potential errors. There were 5,246,584 paired-end sequences in the raw data. A total of 9,891,431 records were included in the reference-based alignment after trimming, and 9,887,093 (99.96%) of them were mapped to the SARS-CoV-2 reference genome.

We generated a consensus sequence of 29,811 bp with no gap and high average coverage (>77,000X). Primer binding sites at the 5' and 3' ends were removed, resulting in this genome being 59 nucleotides (nt) shorter than a reference genome in GenBank (accession number [NC_045512](#)), excluding the poly(A) tail of the genome.

The entire "COVID-19" / "SARS-COV-2" story is very much tied into the 2003 "SARS" story that allegedly was caused by another alleged virus referred to as "SARS-COV" or "SARS-COV-1". Dr. Andrew Kaufman revealed in one of his brilliant presentations that no one ever isolated that alleged virus either (see **Koch's Postulates: Have They Been Proven For Viruses?**)

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/dX0wqs2xbM05/>).

And guess what? Someone recently sent me an FOI response that they obtained from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention admitting **the CDC has no record of "SARS-COV-1", or any common cold-related "coronavirus" ever having been isolated.** As they say, *"you can't make this stuff up"*. Page 1 is shown below; the full pdf is here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/CDC-isolation-FOI-reply-any-coronavirus.pdf>



Virus transport medium

Virus transport medium. Sounds so innocuous, right? (No

pun intended.) But what is actually in that stuff? My colleague in New Zealand ([Michael S.](#)) looked it up.

What Michael discovered is that the **CDC's recommended recipe**, found in their standard operating procedure SOP#: DSR-052-05 (*"To provide a standard operating procedure (SOP) for producing viral transport medium (VTM) for specimens for viral culture or other means of viral detection"*), **calls for fetal bovine serum and toxic drugs.**

This means that patient samples that were stored in virus transport medium prior to laboratory testing and/or culturing were (if following the CDC's SOP) **already adulterated with genetic material and toxic drugs.**

<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/downloads/Viral-Transport-Medium.pdf>

VIRAL TRANSPORT MEDIUM

**** For transport of specimens only****

****Not to be taken internally****

Store at 2-8°C (or temperature determined by specific data generated in stability study by manufacturing laboratory).

Ingredients: Hanks Balanced Salt Solution, fetal bovine serum, Gentamicin, Amphotericin B

Global fraud, conspiracy and terrorism

We make these "no records" responses from around the world publicly available as evidence of the **"COVID-19"**

global fraud, conspiracy and terrorism that has been destroying lives and the economy world-wide this year, and used to usher in a vile globalist agenda that has nothing whatsoever to do with protecting or promoting public health.

Our wish is that all individuals responsible/complicit in this crime against humanity will be held accountable to the fullest extent lawfully possible.

Thus far, in total, we have responses from over 40 institutions and offices around the world, yielding in total zero records describing the essential step of isolation aka purification.

All of these responses are publicly available from the following URL (and more are added regularly):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/>

Stunningly obvious fraud at the Public Health Agency of Canada

A final example of the global incompetence and fraud currently plaguing humanity. (Fuller details on this example are available [here](#).)

The **Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC)** was long **overdue** in responding to my FOI request submitted in **June 2020** (you can click on the image to make it larger):

June 13, 2020

To:

Cynthia Richardson
Access to Information and Privacy Coordinator
Access to Information and Privacy Division
Holland Cross, Tower B
7th Floor, Suite 700, Room 741
1600 Scott Street, Address locator: 3107A
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K9
phac.atip-aiprp.aspc@canada.ca
ATIP-AIPRP@HC-SC.GC.CA

Dear Ms. Richardson,

This is a formal request made under Canada's *Access to Information Act*.

Description of Requested Records:

All records in the possession, custody or control of the Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC) describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; liver cancer cells).

Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense of the word: *the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else*. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-COV-2" refers instead to:

- the culturing of something, or
- the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), or
- the sequencing of something.

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by the PHAC or that pertain to work done by PHAC. My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study that PHAC has downloaded or printed.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it).

The first page of PHAC's **December 2020** response is shown below. Their entire letter, along with the allegedly responsive records they provided, may be accessed here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/PHAC-FOI-isolation->



2020-Our file: PHAC-A-2020-000110 / TTL

2020-12-07

Christine Massey



Dear Christine Massey:

This is in response to your request made under the *Access to Information Act* (the Act) for the following information:

All records in the possession, custody or control of the Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC) describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; liver cancer cells).

Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-COV-2" refers instead to:

- the culturing of something, or
- the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), or
- the sequencing of something.

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by the PHAC or that pertain to work done by PHAC. My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study that PHAC has downloaded or printed.

Clarification:

Date range of request is January 1, 2020 until June 15, 2020

Enclosed please find records responsive to your request. You will note that portions of the records are withheld from disclosure pursuant to sections 19 and 20 of the Act. For ease of reference, a copy of the Act may be found at <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/a-1/> which provides a description of the redaction(s) applied.

Should you have any questions or concerns about the processing of your request please do not hesitate to contact Tammy Turpin-Loyer, the analyst responsible for this file, by email at tammy.turpin-loyer@canada.ca with reference to our file number cited above.

[Note that PHAC's letter indicates a date range for the requested records from January 1, 2020 until June 15, 2020. Technically this is correct (although arguably not in good faith), since my request was submitted on June 13, 2020. Had I dreamed that the Public Health Agency of Canada would take almost **six months** to respond to this very timely request for records that ought to have been at their fingertips I would have indicated "Jan. 1 2020 **until the date of PHAC's response**" as the date range.]

By way of explaining **the glaring problems with this response from the Public Health Agency of Canada – which indicate at best a stunning level of incompetence** – I have pasted my email response to the analyst who conveyed PHAC's response.

*** BEGINNING OF EMAIL ***

Hi Tammy,

Thank you for the dated letter, however there is still a problem with it. The letter states that responsive records are enclosed.

I've gone through both of the pdf attachments that contain the records and there is nothing in them that is responsive to my request.

The manuscript and emails discuss exactly what I stated in my request that I am not interested in: mixing patient samples (adulterating them) with genetic material, specifically monkey kidney (aka "Vero") cells and fetal bovine serum (FBS); and PCR tests; the emails also mention sequencing.

There is no description anywhere of what I requested: separating a thing (the alleged "SARS-COV-2") from everything else in a patient sample.

I don't even see the word "isolate" (or "isolation", or "purify" or "purification") anywhere, except in the manuscript where it appears **only in the context of isolating people**, not a virus (the [published version](#) of the paper is searchable.) (And note the admission on page 1: ***"RT-PCR detects RNA, not infectious virus"***).

All references here to "the virus" are absurd and fraudulent and based on wild, unscientific assumptions.

No one has looked for or found "the virus". They simply assumed (based on PCR tests that are utterly incapable of determining the presence of an intact virus) that patient samples contained "the virus"; they then adulterated the samples with genetic material and toxic drugs, then irrationally blamed "the virus" for harm to the monkey

kidney cells.

This is another typical example of what I call “fraudulent monkey business”, the only difference being that the manuscript and emails do not even make a fraudulent claim (that I can see) of having isolated (or “purified”) any virus.

[Also note that the samples were stored in “viral transport media” for 1-3 days before the PCR testing was even begun. The [CDC's SOP for such](#) includes fetal bovine serum and toxic drugs. Thus in all likelihood, the samples in this study were contaminated with genetic material before any investigation even began.]

These records have nothing to do with isolation of a virus (as per the every day meaning that I indicated in my request); they are not responsive to my request.

Thank you for your efforts, but I require an accurate response from PHAC indicating that they have “no responsive records”.

Thanks and best wishes,
Christine

*** END OF EMAIL ***

(Ms. Turpin-Loyer's responses are shown below.)

Turpin-Loyer, Tammy (HC/SC) <tammy.turpin-loyer@canada.ca>
To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Tue, Dec 8, 2020 at 7:45 .

Good morning,

Based on your wording, these were the records that were returned to our office. We will return to the branch with your additional concerns to see if we can help get an explanation on what you received in response to your request.

PHAC A-2020-000110

Turpin-Loyer, Tammy (HC/SC) <tammy.turpin-loyer@canada.ca>
To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 12:07 PM

Good afternoon,

Just wanted to touch base, and let you know that we have gone back to the program area with your concerns, and requested further clarification from the program area.

I will provide you with additional information as soon as possible.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you require additional information.

Tammy Turpin-Loyer

ATIP Consultant

Access to Information and Privacy Division

"COVID-19" frauds and terrorists belong in jail

The **Senior Leadership at the Public Health Agency of Canada** is comprised of:

Patty Hajdu – Minister of Health

Dr. Theresa Tam – Chief Public Health Officer

Iain Stewart – President (*"from 2016 to 2020, he was President of the National Research Council (NRC) of Canada"* – [which also has no records of SARS-COV-2 isolation](#))

Public Health Agency of Canada's organizational structure

Find information on the Public Health Agency of Canada's (PHAC) leaders, organizational branches and regional offices.

Senior leadership

Meet the Public Health Agency of Canada's leaders.



Minister of Health
[The Honourable Patty Hajdu](#)



Chief Public Health Officer
[Dr. Theresa Tam](#)



President of the Public Health Agency
of Canada
[Iain Stewart](#)

The **Senior Leadership at Health Canada** is comprised of Minister Patty Hajdu and:

Dr. Stephen Lucas – Deputy Minister

Dr. Harpreet S. Kochhar – Associate Deputy Minister

Health Canada's organizational structure

Senior leadership

Meet Health Canada's leaders.

[The Honourable Patty Hajdu](#)



Minister of Health

[Dr. Stephen Lucas](#)



Deputy Minister

[Dr. Harpreet S. Kochhar](#)



Associate Deputy Minister

If you would like to receive email updates re new FOI responses, let me know at Christine.Massey@protonmail.com.

Connect with me (CM) on Twitter (twitter assigned the annoying handle): <https://twitter.com/Christi45657364>

If you would like to learn more about the fraud and trickery that's behind the fake pandemic known as "COVID-19", I recommend having a look at the presentations, articles and facts (not theories) from various sources that I've compiled on the page linked below.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/what-the-hell-is-going-on/embed/#?secret=zLqvOvXEgo>

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Christine Massey holds A Master's Degree in Biostatistics (M.Sc.)

CRITICAL UPDATE

Former Senior Scientist with 35 years experience at Health Canada, [Saeed Qureshi](#):

"COVID-19: The virus does not exist – it is confirmed!" <http://www.drug-dissolution-testing.com/?p=3613>